

APPLI

IN TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N.

738089

Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY (PRINT NAME)

PATIDAR

Date 6/5/01

TO: Art Unit

3753

Class/sub

2862/324

FROM: A.U.

2862

Class

324

REASON:

Flow control valve.

Gatekeeper concurrence

Hand carried: Personally accepted by

Section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC

By: Kease

A.U. 3754

Date 25 JUN 01

☐ ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C.

NOT ACCEPTED

☒ Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier

☐ Non-classification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU

REASON:

Most comprehensive claim is claim 38. No valve claimed. The recitations to a valve in claims 1, 6, and 7 are nominal.

Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER

☐ This dispute was resolved. Forward to

TC/AU 3754 Class/Sub 251

Post Classifier 7/2/01

Date 7/2/01

Concurring

Date

☐ This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Post Classifier Assessment:

Claim 38 is no more comprehensive than the controller and magnetically actuated sensor of claim 1 alone. Structural details do not add to comprehensiveness only organizational elements (eg. a valve, a controller, an actuator). The method of use claims 12-14 control the valve they are equally comprehensive with claims 1-11 and are directed to

Gatekeeper Concurrence

Post Classifier

Date

Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL

Date

Panel Decision:

Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit

Class/sub

REASON:

Eric Sweet is incorrect on this. send it back KPS

OK for 7/20/282 was 7/24/01

Panel Member

Concurring Panel Member

☐ This application MAY NOT be returned to the dispute resolution panel. THIS IS A FINAL DISPOSITION.